

	0	2)
_		

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE							Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS					
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT					
2b. DECL				Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				
4. PERFO: AD-A219 908			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFORR - TR - 90 - 0 3 5 9					
6a. NAME OF FEM CHIVING UNDANIZATION TOD. OFFICE SYMBOL			7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION					
University of California			(If applicable)	Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NL				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)				7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)				
Santa Barbara, CA 93106				Building 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448				
8a. NAME OF ORGANIZA	ATION	ONSORING	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
A FO S R NL 8e. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)				AFOSR-87-0230 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS				
GE. ADDRESS (Building	· ·		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	TA ON LAUT	
Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448			61102F	NO. 2313	NO. A4	ACCESSION NO		
11. TITLE (Incl	ude Security C	lassification)	****		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Hand SI	haping: A	Paradigm for	Cognitive/Motori	ic Interactio	on (Unclassi	fied)	A CHARDS	
12. PERSONAL	AUTHOR(S)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Q V	
Roberta L. Klatzky & James W. Pellegrino 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE CONST								
FINA	AL		/87 то <u>12/31/</u> 89	February 1	10, 1990	Jay) 15.	PAGE COUNT	
16. SUPPLEME	NTARY NOTA	TION						
17.	COSATI	CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revers	e if necessary and	identify b	oy block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	motor response	es, hand shap	es, priming,	, respo	nse timing	
05	09							
19. ABSTRACT	(Continue on	reverse if necessary	and identify by block no	umber)	·			
papers and demonstration object response demonstration and sequence of the contraction of	nd one docating that a about acts; and (i time and ating that acts of fing i, our re-	toral dissert activation o tions on obje- ii) the develor movement time priming of a er responses, sults suggest	contract period ation. The paper of a hand-shape rects; (ii) an inverse opment of a cont. The dissertal hand shape was but not by prepara cognitive/motosally modeled, and ally modeled, and ally modeled.	ers reported representation of cestigation of cestion reported by tration for a coric representation representation representation for a coric representatio	(i) a series on could faci of preshaping t-display sy d a series of simultaneou sequence of ntation of t	of ex litate during stem to f expens species spoken he hand	periments subsequent g responses o measure riments aration for n syllables. I with which	
	() ()	1 P.V						
				21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION				
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. DTIC USERS UNCLASSIFIED 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL								
Dr. Alfred R. Fregly 202/767-5021 NL								
DD Form 1473, JUN 86 Previous editions are obsolete. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE								

99 92 28 175

UNCLASSIFIED 1990

Summary

The research efforts during the contract period (7/1/87-12/31/89) resulted in three submitted or published papers. papers reported (i) a series of experiments demonstrating that activation of a hand-shape representation could facilitate about actions objects; subsequent judgments on (ii) investigation of preshaping during responses to objects; (iii) the development of a controlled object display system to measure response time and movement time. A doctoral dissertation was also supported during the project period.

I. Specific Research Accomplishments

(1) Priming of Hand Shapes

This series of experiments has previously been reported in detail (Annual Technical Report, 1987-88). Five experiments assessed whether priming a hand shape would facilitate judgments about the sensibility of actions performed with objects. specified the hand shape's functional size and flexion/extension Subjects were initially trained to enact the of the fingers. shape, given a prime, or they were trained to vocalize the name of the shape (e.g., "pinch"). They then judged the sensibility of verbal action/object pairs, such as "eat a carrot," which were preceded by a neutral or informative prime. Response times were consistently reduced when both dimensions of the hand shape were primed -- but only for subjects trained to enact the shape. priming effect resulted after vocal training. These results suggest a cognitive/motoric representation of the hand with which actions on objects can be modeled.

(2) Preshaping for Functional Responses to Objects

This study explored the unfolding of hand-shaping in the context of functional responding to common objects. The principal issue was whether distinct, identifiable preshapes are evidenced in preparation for four distinct types of hand contact, including nonprehensile as well as prehensile hand shapes, and for small and large surfaces of contact. Previous studies of preshaping have largely concentrated on the full handed grasp, which we have termed the "clench," with some attention to pointing (the "poke" in our terminology). In addition, most such studies have occurred under circumstances where subjects could anticipate the grasped object, and where there was no attempt to simulate a real-world functional response. To isolate planning for the action as well as preshaping, we precluded the subject from observing the target object or knowing the relevant shape in advance of responding.

As noted above, our interest was in whether preshapes would be observed for all four classes of terminal hand shape, and if so, how the timecourse of preshaping would compare across the four shapes. Accordingly, we videotaped subjects as they reached for common objects in order to functionally interact with them. The tapes were scored for the time of critical features of preshaping, as well as the onset of movement and the time to contact. Videotaped records provided a straightforward way of addressing the questions of interest, because the present hand shapes are defined as configurations in a hand coordinate space (e.g., right angle between thumb and index finger; parallel fingers). These features were reliably coded.

All four shapes considered here did in fact evidence distinct The timecourse for those preshapes was very similar, relative to the onset of reaching. That is, the initial separation of the fingers, formation of first identifiable preshape feature, and completion of the preshape appeared at essentially the same time relative to the onset of reaching for all four shapes. The hand shapes did differ, however, in the time between completion of a stable preshape and contact with the object. The time for preshape-to-contact was greater for hand shapes with small surfaces of contact than those with large surfaces, and for prehensile shapes than for nonprehensile. We attribute these differences to the precision required for the ultimate response, as is consistent with kinematic studies where arm velocity is measured. Our data were in accord with previous observations, that the preshape was completed at about the midpoint of reaching, and the variable latency to object contact occurred beyond that We assume this would incorporate the bulk of the deceleration phase, given the typical movement profile.

(3) Measuring Reaction Time and Movement Time while Reaching for Real Objects

In order to account for both the fluency and flexibility of skilled movement, researchers in the area of human movement have developed the idea of a motor program, a central representation of an action or action sequence that contains information about how that action should be carried out. In theory, a program has two essential characteristics: It is <u>abstract</u>, in that it does not contain information specific to certain effectors (e.g., the muscle fibers of the right hand), but rather contains general instructions that are applicable to a number of different effectors. Second, it is <u>parametric</u>; that is, certain aspects of the movement can be altered (e.g., speed, force, distance, or location of a reaching movement) within a single program.

We have developed a theoretical model of hand shaping for functional interaction that incorporates the fundamental ideas of a motor program, in that we assume that a general category of hand shape (e.g., full-hand grasp) is selected, then parameterized for object contact. Whereas considerable parameterization may take place on-line, during reaching, hand-shape selection should be completed in advance of reach initiation.

For our model, and any other that separates selection from parameterization (or planning from control), it is essential to devise methods of empirically separating planning and movement. We have designed an instrument that provides such empirical separation. Specifically, it divides the course of reaching for functional interaction with objects into two timed periods: the time between exposure to the object and initiation of the reach (liftoff), and the time between initiation of the reach and contact with the object. These are generally called reaction time (RT) and movement time (MT), respectively. While others have separated these components, for example, in simple finger flexion and wrist flexion/extension, our instrument provides for such separation in the context of unconstrained, functional object interaction.

Several methodological problems arising from this methodology lead to the development of the apparatus: We needed to (1) present real, manipulable objects, not just computer images of objects; (2) present these objects virtually instantaneously, giving an accurate starting point for timing; (3) accurately mark the point in time that contact with the object was made; (4) do all this with minimal interference with the subject's natural movements; and (5) do it relatively economically.

This project led to the design of an apparatus for measuring reaction times and movement times involved in reaching for real Subjects view an object through a liquid crystal (LC) window, which serves as a shutter that can be made clear or opaque quickly (10 ms from opaque to clear, 30 ms from clear to opaque). The subject's hand rests on a home key, and the object sits on a force-sensitive platform so that initiation of reach and time of contact with the object can be marked accurately. The apparatus interfaces with an IBM PC/AT through a digital I/O parallel port, that reaction times and movement times are recorded automatically.

In a typical trial, a subject, seated with the head behind the LC window, rests the right hand on the home key, which is attached to a microswitch. The LC window is in an opaque state at this A warning tone alerts the subject that the trial will start. An output from the computer causes the window to change to a transparent state and a lamp to be illuminated, exposing the object on a platform. The subject reaches for the object, releasing the home key and hence signaling the computer. with the object activates a piezoelectric crystal under platform, which signals the end of the trial to the computer. computer immediately outputs a signal that again makes the screen opaque and shuts off the lamp. A timer records the point in time of object exposure (t_0) , release of the microswitch $(t_1,$ liftoff), and piezo activation (t2, or contact), resulting in two time durations: reaction time, defined as the time between exposure and liftoff, and movement time, the time between liftoff and contact.

The instrument we have designed is essentially a one-field tachistoscope, but for real objects. It is therefore a versatile means of presenting stimuli for the ecologically valid responses of reaching and grasping. It could be combined with kinematic measurement systems, if fine-grained position/time functions were desired, or with electromyography. The basic apparatus can also be modified in a variety of ways; for example, we have added a voice key so response times for tasks like a same/different judgement can be recorded. A second microswitch has also been added to allow for reaching by either hand.

(4) Motor Planning and Semantic Sensibility Judgments

In a variant on the priming paradigm described under (1) above, subjects either were requested to make a semantic sensibility judgment about some phrase describing an action of the hand, or they were signaled to perform in a secondary task. The secondary task involved either a sequential finger-press response (right forefinger, left forefinger, right middle finger, left middle finger) or a pronunciation response (saying "bah gah gee bee") that had been matched with the finger sequence for difficulty.

On each trial, either a neutral signal or a hand-shape prime symbol appeared. It was followed either by a phrase to be judged for sensibility, or a signal to perform the secondary task. Subjects did not know in advance of the trial whether they would be judging a phrase or performing the secondary task.

Under these circumstances, the priming effect was eliminated for subjects whose secondary task was manual. However, those whose secondary task was vocal showed a substantial priming effect. These results support our assumption of a cognitive/motoric representation of the hand that can be used to internally model manual interaction. Preparation for a manual response apparently interfered with that representation, whereas preparation for a vocal response did not.

II. Published and planned articles

Klatzky, R. L., Pellegrino, J. W., McCLoskey, B. P., & Doherty, S. Can you squeeze a tomato? The role of motor representations in semantic sensibility judgments. Journal of Memory and Language, 1989, 28, 56-77. (published)

Pellegrino, J. W., Klatzky, R. L., & McCloskey, B. P. Timecourse of preshaping for functional responses to objects. Journal of Motor Behavior, 1989, 21, 307-316. (published)

Fikes, T., Klatzky, R. L., Pellegrino, J. W., Hebert, C., &

Murdock, L. Measuring reaction time and movement time while reaching for real objects. Behavior Research Methods, Instrumentation, and Computers. (submitted)

McCLoskey, B., Pellegrino, J. W., & Klatzky, R. L. Motor Interference in Semantic Sensibility Judgments. Planned for submission to J. Exp. Psychology: Human Perception and Performance.

III. Presentations

Motor response categories and knowledge about objects. Presented by B. McCloskey at APA Convention, Atlanta, August 1988.

Cognitive representation of hand shapes for functional object interaction. Presented by R. Klatzky at Meeting on Theoretical Issues in Motor Control, sponsored by International School for Advanced Studies, Trieste, Italy, July 1989.

Submitted for presentation at APA Convention, 1990: Motor Interference with Semantic Judgments. (to be presented by B. McCloskey)

IV. Participating Professionals

Roberta L. Klatzky, Principal Investigator James W. Pellegrino, co-Principal Investigator Brian P. McCloskey, Graduate Assistant Thomas W. Fikes, Graduate Assistant Sally Doherty, Research Associate (1987-88)

V. Degrees Awarded

Ph.D. awarded to Brian P. McCloskey, December 1989
Thesis title: Evidence for Motor Interference in Semantic
Sensibility Judgments



